

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brot 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/642,388 | 08/15/2003 | Rilmar R. Mueller | WW C-60 | 9481 |
| 23474 7 | 590 03/30/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| | EL BOUTELL & TAI | KASTLER, SCOTT R | | |
| 2026 RAMBLI KALAMAZO | ING ROAD D, MI 49008-1699 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1742 | <u> </u> |

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | 14/ | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 10/642,388 | MUELLER ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Scott Kastler | 1742 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 CSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum viil apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon | ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal i | matters, prosecution as to the | merits is | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 August 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| · | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11)[_ | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | • | ` ' | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | • | een received in this National | Stage | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | • | not received. | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | _ | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO) |)-152) | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/12/04</u> . | 6) Dother: | | · · - / | | | | |
| C. Datastand T. | 1 1 | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: The above claim is informal in that the term "a monitoring network according to claim 9" lacks proper antecedent basis since no explicit "monitoring network" is recited in claim 9 (rather, a "monitoring device" is recited). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopf 671 in view of the Giesserei 89 article to Hopf (the Hopf article). Hopf 671 teaches a monitoring device on a furnace (1) with a ceramic liner (2) and containing a melt for the monitoring of the break out of a melt, including a closed circuit of several electrically conductive sections (8) each formed in c "comb-like" shape (see col. 4 lines 35-40 for example) where the conductive sections are arranged next to each other but electrically insulated from one another, and each section is further connected in series to an indicator and evaluation system (16), thereby showing all aspects of the above claims except the use of an ohmic resistor of any desired value in the indicator system. The Hopf article teaches, in the description provided on page 2 of the instant specification by the applicant for example, that it was known in the break out sensor art a the time the invention was made to evaluate signals from conductor sections of the type taught by

Art Unit: 1742

Hopf 671 through the use of resistor measurements (through the use of an ohmic resistor) where the resistors have values within the instantly claimed ranges (see figure 3, "Bild 3" where a resistance value of 2 is recited in one embodiment (Fall 2)) and are displayed on a visual (optic) display and could be coupled for automatic shut off. Because Hopf 671 recites the use of a general indicator and evaluation system (16) but specifies no particular system to be employed, motivation to employ a known indicator system employing an ohmic resistor of the instantly claimed value, as described by the Hopf article as appropriate for break out indication systems of the type described by Hopf 671 would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Refractech Advertisement, Hayashi and Seitz are also cited as further examples of prior art break out sensor systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,388

Art Unit: 1742

388 Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk